

John Selles widow of Augustus & Selles dea. Martha, Agnes, Maria, Francis and William
infant children of said Selles by John Selles their next friend
against

Horrell A. Adkins administrator of Augustus & Selles dea.
This day this cause was debated and by consent of parties came on to be heard on the bill and
answer, and was argued by Demasde. On Consideration whereof the Court with advantage order and decree
that Horrell A. Adkins who is appointed a special Commissioner for that purpose shall be the high-
est bidder or man capable credit the slaves belonging to the estate of Augustus & Selles dea. and
sell to John Selles one fourth of the proceeds arising on the sale of two thirds, and the remainder de-
vide so as to settle one fourth thereof to Martha Agnes, Maria, Francis and William Selles
and the other fourth of the remainder divide between the same parties according to William Selles
of the whole blood double as much as the share who are of the half blood - And said Commissioner
will make report in order to a final decree.

On the motion of John H. Rice. Ordered that one of the Commissioners of the Court examine state and
bills on account of said Rice administration in East or West's case and report the same to Court with any
matter specially stated demand parties not by himself which he may be required to state.

On the motion of Horrell Harris. Ordered that one of the Commissioners of the Court examine
state and bills on account of said Harris' guardian'ship of the infant children of Henry & Margaret
and make report thereof to the Court with any matter specially stated demand parties not by himself
or which he may be required to state.

Joseph H. Hurdough wife's exec for the benefit of Richard Dorsial
against
Augustus W. Hart and John Hart

for the forthcoming on the day of sale of property taken under execution.
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing by the oath of a witness that the
defendants have had legal notice of this notice they were solemnly called but came not. There-
fore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants
for One hundred and two dollars eighty eight cents the penalty of the said bond and his
costs by term in this behalf expended. And the said defendant in error &c. that this execution
may be discharged by the payment of fifty three dollars and forty four cents with legal
interest thereon from the 15th September 1824 till payment and the costs.

John D. Sumner
against
L. H. Bishop & Co. A. Cash

for the forthcoming on the day of sale of property taken under execution.
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing by the oath of a witness that
the defendants have had legal notice of this notice they were solemnly called but came not.
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the
defendants for fifty nine dollars and fifty four cents the penalty of said bond and his costs by
term in this behalf expended. And the said defendants in error &c. that this execution may be
discharged by the payment of twenty nine dollars seventy four cents with legal interest thereon
from the 25th day of October 1824 till payment and the costs.